Received: 01/27/2003

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 01/27/2003					Received By: gmalaise			
Wanted: Soon					Identical to LRB:			
For: Ad	ministration-I	Budget 4-8259	By/Representing: Hummert					
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO May Contact:					Drafter: gmalaise Addl. Drafters:			
Submit	via email: YES	}						
Request	er's email:							
Carbon	copy (CC:) to:	·						
Pre Top	oic:							
DOA:	Hummert -							
Topic:								
Eliminat	tion of Arts Bo	ard appropriation	ons					
Instruc	tions:							
See Atta	ched							
Draftin	g History:							
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required	
/?	gmalaise 01/27/2003	kgilfoy 01/27/2003					State	
/1			pgreensl 01/28/200	03	amentkow 01/28/2003		State	
/2	gmalaise	kgilfoy	pgreensl		amentkow		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

01/29/2003 10:25:45 AM Page 2

 Vers.
 Drafted
 Reviewed
 Typed
 Proofed
 Submitted
 Jacketed
 Required

 01/28/2003
 01/28/2003
 01/29/2003
 01/29/2003
 01/29/2003

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 01/27/2003 Wanted: Soon For: Administration-Budget 4-8259 This file may be shown to any legislator: NO May Contact:					Received By: gmalaise Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Hummert Drafter: gmalaise Addl. Drafters:												
									Subject: Education - miscellaneous					Extra Copies:			
									Submit v	ria email: YES	}	,					
									Requeste	er's email:							
									Carbon c	copy (CC:) to:							
Pre Top	ic:																
DOA:	Hummert -		ě														
Topic:	-																
Eliminati	ion of Arts Bo	ard appropriation	ons														
Instruct	ions:																
See Attac	ched																
Drafting	g History:																
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required										
/?	gmalaise 01/27/2003	kgilfoy 01/27/2003					State										
/1		/2-128 Amg	pgreensl 01/28/20	03 199 D 181	amentkow 01/28/2003												

01/28/2003 11:49:54 AM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<END>

2003 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 01/27/2003	Received By: gmalaise					
Wanted: Soon	Identical to LRB:					
For: Administration-Budget 4-8259	By/Representing: Hummert					
This file may be shown to any legislator: NO	Drafter: gmalaise					
May Contact:	Addl. Drafters:					
Subject: Education - miscellaneous	Extra Copies:					
Submit via email: YES						
Requester's email:						
Carbon copy (CC:) to:						
Pre Topic: DOA:Hummert - Topic: Eliminatin of Arts Board appropriations Instructions:						
See Attached						
Drafting History:						
Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typed Proofed /? gmalaise /1-/27 FE Sent For:	Submitted Jacketed Required					

Malaise, Gordon

From:

Grant, Peter

Sent:

Monday, January 27, 2003 4:39 PM

To:

Malaise, Gordon

Subject:

FW: Arts Board question

Gordon, I think Josh's question is for you....

----Original Message----

From:

Hummert, Joshua

Sent:

Monday, January 27, 2003 4:29 PM

To:

Grant, Peter

Subject:

Arts Board question

Peter, I was just doing appropriation title maintenance for the Arts Board when I noticed that the High Point fund (s.20.215 (1)(e)) and Portage County Arts Alliance (s.20.215(1)(fm)) programs are still in Chapter 20. I know it's late, but I was wondering if it would be possible to repeal those appropriations. If not, they won't hurt anybody if they stick around for yet another biennium.

Thanks, Josh



1

2

3

State of Misconsin 2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1796/2 (1)
GMM...;....

DOA:.....Hummert - Eliminatin of Arts Board appropriations

FOR 2003-05 BUDGET - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau EDUCATION

OTHER EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL AGENCIES

Under current law, there is appropriated to the Arts Board general purpose revenues for a grant to the Milwaukee Foundation, Inc., for deposit in the High Point fund and a grant to the city of Stevens Point Arts Council for development of the Portage County Arts Alliance. This bill eliminates those appropriations.

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 20.215 (1) (e) of the statutes is repealed.

****Note: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats.

SECTION 2. 20.215 (1) (fm) of the statutes is repealed.

****Note: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats.

LRB-1796/? GMM........... SECTION 3

SECTION 3. 44.53 (1) (j) of the statutes is repealed.

2

1

(END)

Malaise, Gordon

From:

Hummert, Joshua

Sent:

Tuesday, January 28, 2003 3:12 PM

To: Subject:

Malaise, Gordon RE: Arts Board question

Gordon, then I would like to modify draft # 1796 to only eliminate s.20.215(1)(fm).

Thanks for the help, Josh

----Original Message----

From:

Malaise, Gordon

Sent:

Tuesday, January 28, 2003 2:49 PM

To: Subject: Hummert, Joshua RE: Arts Board question

Josh:

What s. 20.115 (1) (fm) does is create the appropriation and sunset it all in the same provision. We call that "in-text applicability dates." It is an alternative to a separate bill section repealing that provision at a later date. So, I would think it would be good housekeeping to repeal s. 20.115 (1) (fm) since, by its own language, it does not apply after June 30, 2000, anyway.

Gordon

----Original Message----

From:

Hummert, Joshua

Sent:

Tuesday, January 28, 2003 2:15 PM

To: Malaise, Gordon **Subject:** RE: Arts Board question

Gordon, as I doublechecked the language for the Arts Board appropriations, I found that there is no sunset provision for s.20.215(1)(e). As a result, I don't have the authority to request the deletion of that appropriation. I would like your opinion on s.20.215(1)(fm), however. Can the language "no expenditures may be made from this appropriation after June 30, 2000," be extrapolated to mean that the appropriation should not exist after that date?

----Original Message----

From:

Malaise, Gordon

Sent:

Monday, January 27, 2003 5:07 PM

To: Hummert, Joshua **Cc:** Grant, Peter

Subject:

FW: Arts Board question

Josh:

I can repeal those obsolete appropriations for you. Send over a brief drafting request for the record.

Gordon

----Original Message----

From:

Grant, Peter

Sent:

Monday, January 27, 2003 4:39 PM

To: Malaise, Gordon

Subject:

FW: Arts Board question

Gordon, I think Josh's question is for you....

----Original Message----

From:

Hummert, Joshua

Sent:

Monday, January 27, 2003 4:29 PM

To: Grant, Peter

Subject:

Arts Board question

Peter, I was just doing appropriation title maintenance for the Arts Board when I noticed that the High Point fund (s.20.215(1)(e)) and Portage County Arts Alliance (s.20.215(1)(fm)) programs are still in Chapter 20. I know it's late, but I was wondering if it would be possible to repeal those appropriations. If not, they won't hurt anybody if they stick around for yet another biennium.

Thanks, Josh



1

2

3

State of Misconsin 2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE



DOA:.....Hummert – Elimination of Arts Board appropriations $For \ 2003-05 \ BUDGET - NOT \ READY \ For \ INTRODUCTION$

Current lan provides, however, that no moneys may be encumbered from that appropriation after June 30,2000.

AN ACT.:; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau EDUCATION

OTHER EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL AGENCIES

Under current law, there is appropriated to the Arts Board general purpose revenues for a grant to the Milwaukee Foundation, Inc., for deposit in the High Point fund and a grant to the city of Stevens Point Arts Council for development of the Portage County Arts Alliance. This bill eliminates those appropriations.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 20.215 (1) (e) of the statutes is repealed.

****NOTE: This SECTION involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats

Section 2. 20.215 (1) (fm) of the statutes is repealed.

****NOTE: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats.

1 SECTION 3. 44.53 (1) (1) of the statutes is repealed.

2

(END)



State of Misconsin 2003 - 2004 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1796/2 GMM:kmg:pg

DOA:.....Hummert – Elimination of Arts Board appropriations

FOR 2003–05 BUDGET — NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau EDUCATION

OTHER EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL AGENCIES

Under current law, there is appropriated to the Arts Board general purpose revenues for a grant to the city of Stevens Point Arts Council for development of the Portage County Arts Alliance. Current law provides, however, that no moneys may be encumbered from that appropriation after June 30, 2000. This bill eliminates that appropriation.

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

2 Section 1. 20.215 (1) (fm) of the statutes is repealed.

****Note: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats.